

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/656,452	56,452 09/05/2003 Mark Ellsberry 7590 10/11/2005		Mark Ellsberry	44223-0100	8437	
7			EXAM	EXAMINER		
SHALDON &	& MAK		CHU, CHRIS C			
225 SOUTH LAKE AVENUE 9TH FLOOR PASADENA, CA 91101				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2815		

DATE MAILED: 10/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<b>*</b>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>				
Advisory Action	10/656,452	ELLSBERRY ET AL	RRY ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>				
	Chris C. Chu	2815					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	  ress				
THE REPLY FILED 30 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH		•					
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folked places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparing time periods:</li> </ol>	on the same day as filing a Notice of pwing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in oliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	of Appeal. To avoid ab affidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires and (1) the mailing data of this Adv		o final rejection, whichev	orie lator In no				
	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F		D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			because				
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		)TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifyinç	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be s</li> </ul>		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:	) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 - 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 20, 21, 23, 24,</u>	28 - 30 and 33 - 40.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears over and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by							
•							

YOM THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

13. 🔲 Other: \_\_\_

## **Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)**

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1, 5, 15, 20, 35, 37, 39 and 40 have been amended to now recite that a memory die having ..., five sides of the memory die are completely exposed and a sixth side of the memory die is exposed for improved heat dissipation, the substrate made from a different material than the memory die .... This new limitation requires further search/consideration.